

Melbourne Sports Law Association Negotiations Competition Scoresheet

Team Name:		Roo	om/Time:					
Client:			Judge:					
Interests	Not Shown	Poor	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent		
	0	1-3	3-6	7-8	9-12	12-15		
 Identifying and prioritising interests Did the team appear to understand and appropriately rank their client's interests? How well prepared were they? 							/15	

Advocacy- How well did the team advocate for their client's interests?- Did the team clearly articulate their goals?							/15
	0	1-2	3-4	5-6	7-8	9-10	
Outcome - To what extent did the outcome (whether or not an agreement was reached) serve the clients goals?							/10
Comments:							/40
Flexibility	Not Shown	Poor	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	
	0	1-2	3-4	5-6	7-8	9-10	
Strategy - Was the team able to adapt their strategy to the development of the negotiation?							/10

Response to the other team - How well did they respond to new information from the opposition?							/10
	0	1-3	3-6	7-8	9-12	12-15	
Creativity - Did the team demonstrate creative thinking in finding solutions in a way that would realistically fit the scenario?							/15

Comments:							/35
				0 1	.,	n n .	
Professionalism	Not Shown	Poor	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	
	0	1-2	3-4	5-6	7-8	9-10	
Teamwork							/10
 Did the team effectively work together, sharing responsibility and providing support to one another? 							

 Relationship with opposing team Did the team manage their relationship with the other team having regard to the type of negotiation? Did their management of the relationship help or hinder the negotiation? 							/10
	0	1	2	3	4	5	
Presentation - Was the team dressed professionally? Did they consistently employ a professional and calm tone of voice? Did the team appear confident? Did teams maintain eye contact?							/5

Comments:	/25

Legal element	Not Shown	Poor	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	
	0	1-2	3-4	5-6	7-8	9-10	
Black-letter law - Did the team comply with the law of contract?							/10
 Compliance with the stated interests Did the team introduce law/clauses that aligned with their stated interests? How did they respond to law introduced by the other team? Did this help or hinder the negotiation? 							/10
	0	1	2	3	4	5	
Proportionality - Did the team introduce excess/irrelevant law? Did this hinder the negotiation? Was the new law emphasised/prioritised to the detriment of the negotiation overall?							/5

Comments:	/25

Sport-specific knowledge:	Not Shown	Poor	Aver age	Good	Very Good	Excellent	
	0	1-2	3-4	5-6	7-8	9-10	
Understanding of the overall sporting landscape, including but not limited to:							/10
 Player and club valuations and the effect on sponsorship, playing contracts etc Previous agreements and sanctions in analogous situations 							

Comments:	/25